
APA
THE ENGINEERED
WOOD ASSOCIATION

WHOLE HOUSE WALL BRACING

PLYWOOD AND OSB MEET EVERYDAY CHALLENGES



WOOD STRUCTURAL PANELS TAKE THE HEADACHES OUT OF HOME BUILDING

Almost 70 percent of homebuilders have already discovered a straightforward solution for code bracing requirements and job site uncertainties: sheathing all exterior walls with wood structural panels. Simply by using plywood or oriented strand board (OSB), builders not only meet code, but also get maximum design flexibility, provide owners a safe structure and reduce callbacks, material use and labor issues.



Fully sheathing a house with plywood or OSB gives builders the ability to construct code-approved wall segments narrower than any permitted with other sheathing products and without complicated hold downs. Home designers enjoy the flexibility available with wood structural panels, while homeowners appreciate the security of hazard-resistant construction. Most all luxury homes use plywood or OSB for a reason: wood structural panels are the best product money can buy at an overall cost that's affordable for any builder.

Other sheathing products plague builders with a host of concerns that can lead to costly delays throughout the construction process. Meeting code requirements with these products is a challenge, and engineering and hold downs drive up costs, add time and complicate installation. These problems evaporate with a simple switch to fully sheathing with wood structural panels.

Wood Structural Panels:

- Help builders cost effectively meet code requirements for wall bracing even while using narrow walls
- Add shear and racking strength that help tie the building together to resist nature's forces, including inclement weather during construction
- Provide a solid nail base and continuous coverage for siding, which results in a smooth, even appearance of the finished siding
- Are easy to build with, meaning fewer labor hassles
- Add stiffness and reduce flexing that can cause drywall problems, thus reducing callbacks
- Help protect the structure against airborne debris in high winds
- Provide code-required resistance to wind pressures acting on exterior walls
- Provide an excellent noise barrier when used in combination with insulated wood-framed walls and exterior siding products
- Deliver energy savings to the homeowner with a strong, tight home, while not compromising structural integrity
- Provide an excellent structural foundation for foam sheathing

MEET WALL BRACING CODE REQUIREMENTS

Wall bracing is a critical aspect of the structural integrity of a home. As home designs continue to become larger and more complicated, bracing is also becoming more critical and building officials across the country are stringently enforcing the wall bracing code requirements. The International Residential Code's (IRC) eight prescriptive bracing methods require 4-foot or wider bracing segments near the corners of buildings and at prescribed intermediate points, but common home designs rarely include such wide segments, leaving builders caught between meeting code or meeting the owner and architect's aesthetic concerns. Fortunately, builders have an easy solution: fully sheath walls with wood structural panels.

The continuous wood structural panel sheathing method (IRC R602.10.5) and the APA Narrow Wall Bracing Method enable builders to meet code bracing requirements, while reducing wall bracing segments to as narrow as 16 inches next to window and door openings without any special components or connectors. Wood structural panels form a strong, stiff shell when joined together, and this inherent strength enables builders to use smaller bracing segments while still maintaining the building's ability to resist lateral loads. Because these systems are based on the structural integrity of continuous wood structural panel sheathing for the complete building system, it's important, and a code requirement, that all exterior walls are fully sheathed with plywood or OSB.

The code requirements for wall bracing can be confusing, but many of the complicated issues can be avoided by using plywood or OSB on all exterior walls of the house. Additionally, a lesser amount of bracing is required, compared to other prescriptive wall bracing materials, when using all wood structural panels. Builders find fully sheathing with wood structural panels eliminates the majority of code-compliance worries, simplifies the construction process and results in a better-performing house.

Ready for a simple, hassle-free solution? Switch to fully sheathing with wood structural panels and enjoy the benefits of easy code compliance, design flexibility and structural security and integrity.



No Hold Downs.

No Hold Ups.

No Hassles.

WOOD STRUCTURAL PANELS AND ENERGY

As the cost of energy continues to increase, energy conservation will also continue to be a top priority of builders and designers. True energy conservation is more than just accumulating R values, as leading energy experts point to tighter houses and more efficient mechanical systems for the real keys to cutting energy costs.



Some wall materials, such as the foam sheathing shown in the photo above, are susceptible to field damage. When holes are punched in the board during construction, any energy benefits from the foam sheathing are nullified by the resulting air infiltration.



Wood structural panel sheathing, shown in the photo above, provides a tight connection to the framing material and is strong and puncture resistant. In short, plywood and OSB sheathing provide the homeowner a strong, tight home.

NARROW BRACING OPTIONS FOR A FULLY SHEATHED HOME

Because fully sheathing a home with plywood or OSB creates a rigid shell structure, the APA Narrow Wall Bracing Method and the continuously sheathed method, referenced in Section R602.10.5 of the 2006 IRC, solve the problem of meeting code requirements while permitting narrow walls. The IRC R602.10.5 method allows for wall segments as narrow as 24 inches, but the APA Narrow Wall Bracing Method takes the concept a step further with a configuration that adds enough structural support to safely reduce bracing width to 16 inches. Both methods can be used all around the house at garage, window and door openings, creating a more pleasing appearance both inside and out. Table 1 summarizes minimum allowable bracing widths permitted by the 2006 IRC, along with the APA Narrow Wall Bracing Method.

TABLE 1

ALLOWABLE BRACING SEGMENT WIDTHS FOR FULLY SHEATHED HOMES


Bracing Construction	Minimum Width of Braced Wall Panel for Wall Height of:			Max. Opening Height Next to the Braced Wall
	8 feet	9 feet	10 feet	
IRC R602.10.5	32"	36"	40"	85% of wall height
(see IRC for limitations)	24"	27"	30"	65% of wall height
APA Narrow Wall^(a) Bracing Method	16"	18"	20"	up to bottom of header
(see Figures 1, 3, 4)				


Note:

(a) The minimum width of braced wall segment for the APA Method is based on the height from the top of header to bottom of sill plate, as shown in Figure 1. Framing, such as a cripple wall, may be built on top of the header, but it does not affect the height used to determine the minimum braced wall segment width.

- 1 The APA Narrow Wall Bracing Method permits 16-inch-wide bracing segments next to most entry doors and windows.
- 2 & 4 Using IRC R602.10.5, bracing segments can be as narrow as 32 inches wide next to entry doors and 24 inches wide next to most windows. No header extensions or special nailing schedules are necessary.
- 3 Builders can use 16-inch-wide bracing on raised wood floors including those over basements and crawl spaces, on second and third stories and in sunrooms.
- 5 Builders can easily utilize designs as narrow as 16-inch-wide garage return walls without using exotic systems or foundation hold-down devices. The APA Narrow Wall Bracing Method is permitted in Seismic Design Categories A, B and C next to garage door openings, with up to one story above, in the 2006 IRC, see IRC Table R602.10.5 footnote c. Approval of other applications, as shown in this brochure, is pending IRC approval, but in the interim IRC Section 104.11 may be used to permit use based on testing completed.

Note: Drawings are for illustrative purposes only. Use Figures 1, 3 and 4 and the IRC for construction details and limitations.






CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR THE APA NARROW WALL BRACING METHOD

FIGURE 1

NARROW WALL OVER CONCRETE OR MASONRY BLOCK FOUNDATION



Note: This narrow wall bracing segment meets the minimum requirements for wall bracing (racking loads in the plane of the wall). The building designer should determine what specific details are necessary to provide a complete load path for using this bracing in the structure.

FIGURE 2

EXAMPLE OF REQUIRED OUTSIDE CORNER DETAIL (IRC R602.10.5)

At corners, connect the two walls together as outlined in this detail to provide overturning restraint.






FIGURE 3

NARROW WALL OVER RAISED WOOD FLOOR OR SECOND FLOOR – FRAMING ANCHOR OPTION^(a)

Outside Elevation



Side Elevation




Framing anchors installed per the anchor manufacturer's recommendation.

Use engineered wood Rim Board®, I-joist or **DRY** lumber rim joist to minimize potential for buckling over band joist.

Notes:

(a) See Figure 1 for complete framing detail.

(b) Capacity based on stress increase (1.60).




Not to scale


FIGURE 4

NARROW WALL OVER RAISED WOOD FLOOR OR SECOND FLOOR – WOOD STRUCTURAL PANEL OVERLAP OPTION^(a)

Outside Elevation




Side Elevation



Use engineered wood Rim Board®, I-joist or **DRY** lumber rim joist to minimize potential for buckling over band joist.

Note:

(a) See Figure 1 for complete framing detail.



Not to scale


DESIGN FLEXIBILITY FOR THE WHOLE HOUSE



Modern home designs feature such design elements as a variety of siding types and styles, high ceilings, sunrooms, window walls and second story bonus rooms. Homebuyers shopping for a new house expect these features, but it's important for designers and builders to understand the consequences of such designs. Taller walls catch more wind and large window openings decrease the force-resisting shell of the home, making wall bracing even more important in new homes. Wood structural panels provide the strength needed for today's design trends.

To make up for their structural limitations, products like fiberboard and gypsum are required to be installed in 4-foot-wide segments, and foam with let-in bracing must be even wider, to meet the code bracing requirements. This in turn leads to fewer opportunities for openings like windows and doors, which decreases the value of the home in terms of both its design appeal and its structural integrity. Wood structural panels, however, maintain a house's structural integrity while enabling the narrower wall bracing segment width featured in many of today's home designs. And unlike other products, houses fully sheathed with wood structural panels do not require costly engineering or hold-down hardware to meet bracing codes.

For an explanation of code bracing requirements, consult APA publication *Introduction to Wall Bracing*, Form F430, available online at www.wallbracing.org. For design details in CAD format, visit www.apacad.org.



FULLY SHEATHED**PARTIALLY SHEATHED**

It's easy to see why designers and homeowners prefer narrow walls from the photo illustration comparing two IRC-approved wall bracing methods: fully sheathed and partially sheathed.

In the partially sheathed method, the IRC (Section R602.10) requires 4-foot-wide bracing segments near the corners of buildings and at prescribed intermediate points. Four-foot-wide bracing segments significantly reduce the wall space available for windows, doorways and garage openings, making efficient house layout difficult for designers and homeowners alike.

Fortunately, the IRC permits narrow wall segments to count as bracing when the house is fully sheathed with wood structural panels. This bracing method, described in IRC Section R602.10.5, permits segments as narrow as 24 inches next to most windows. The APA method permits segments as narrow as 16 inches adjacent to openings, such as garage doors, large windows and sliding glass doors (see Table 1). This bracing option offers more design flexibility for houses, allowing more windows and doors and making a lighter, more open-feeling interior.

THE STRUCTURALLY SUPERIOR CHOICE



A house must be able to resist the loads anticipated during its lifetime.

Lateral loads – those acting on the side of a house – result from winds or earthquakes. Because high-wind events or earthquakes are infrequent, it can be difficult to grasp their possible damaging effects on a structure, but ensuring that homes can withstand lateral loads is critical to the safety of the building and its occupants. Walls with the superior bracing are less likely to rack, incur damage or collapse during high wind or an earthquake.

Along with the advantage of whole house bracing, wood structural panels provide universally solid performance as a sub-siding material. Holding fasteners securely, providing impact resistance from storm-blown objects and resisting code required wind pressures make plywood and OSB the most versatile of all wall sheathing materials.

No part of North America is immune to the threat of significant lateral loads. While the Western states plan for seismic events and the Eastern and Gulf Coastal states prepare for hurricanes, high-wind events occur frequently every year throughout all other parts of North America.

APA's engineers have observed first-hand the devastation of recent natural disasters and chronicled the effects of different sheathing types. Wood structural panels out-performed other sheathing choices in resistance to flying debris, wind pressures and racking. Wood structural panels also serve as cladding, capable of resisting the required wind pressures blowing on the house during such events. A significant percentage of builders and designers are making the prudent decision to maximize the value of their wall sheathing by specifying and using wood structural panels.

Tornadoes

Observations from a series of tornadoes in Missouri in May 2003 demonstrate the benefits of wood structural panel sheathing. Homes with plywood or OSB sheathing sustained far less damage than those sheathed with products like non-structural foam or fiberboard and sided with vinyl. Strong winds and windborne debris caused much of the damage in this region.



A detached garage was racked due to inadequate bracing of the front return walls.



OSB wall sheathing fared well under lightweight vinyl siding compared to the non-structural wall sheathing materials shown here.



Plywood sheathing under badly battered vinyl siding remained intact on this wall.



This plywood sheathed house fared much better than the home in the background that had mostly nonstructural wall sheathing. (See photo below.)



The nonstructural wall system of this two-story house offered little resistance to damage from flying debris and lateral forces.

Hurricanes

Hurricane Katrina wreaked havoc across the Gulf Coast in August 2005. Assessment teams concluded that structures built with wood structural panels sustained less damage from the hurricane-force winds than those built with lesser materials.



Homes in the Brighton Place subdivision in Gulfport, Mississippi, experienced very little structural damage. It is believed that this home was representative of the construction in this development. There was a complete load path from foundation to roof and the walls were fully sheathed with wood structural panels.



Note intact vinyl siding in garage area that was sheathed with wood structural panels, and lack of vinyl siding in areas of the foam sheathing.



This home was in the same neighborhood as the above photo. Foam sheathing was inadequate to resist the wind pressures on the gable ends. Massive water infiltration and damage to home and contents resulted.



Foam sheathing and vinyl were lost on gable-end in Sunkist (Biloxi), Mississippi, just south of I-10. Massive water infiltration and damage to contents resulted.



This neighborhood experienced significant loss of vinyl siding. There was no weather-resistant barrier between the siding and the OSB. Note the staining in areas under the second-story window and the roof/wall interface that experienced deterioration due to poor detailing and repeated moisture intrusion.


TEST RESULTS DEMONSTRATE EXCELLENT PERFORMANCE

Full-scale shake table testing shows fully sheathed walls significantly improved performance in all parts of the building over the same wall with only partial sheathing, as documented in CUREE publication W-30b, *Recommendations for Earthquake Resistance in the Design and Construction of Wood Frame Buildings*. And the FEMA-232 *Home Builder's Guide to Seismic Resistant Construction* recognizes that walls fully sheathed with wood structural panels will provide significantly improved strength and stiffness performance over other permitted isolated bracing segments.



ABOUT APA - THE ENGINEERED WOOD ASSOCIATION

APA – The Engineered Wood Association is a nonprofit trade association of and for structural wood panel, glued laminated timber, wood I-joist, laminated veneer lumber and other engineered wood product manufacturers. Based in Tacoma, Washington, APA represents approximately 150 mills throughout North America, ranging from small, independently owned and operated companies to large integrated corporations.



Always insist on panels bearing the mark of quality – the APA trademark.

Your APA panel purchase is not only your highest possible assurance of product quality, but an investment in the many trade services that APA provides on your behalf. The Association's trademark appears only on products manufactured by member mills and is the manufacturer's assurance that the product conforms to the standard shown on the trademark. That standard may be an APA performance standard, the *Voluntary Product Standard PS 1-95 for Construction and Industrial*



Plywood or Voluntary Product Standard PS 2-04, Performance Standards for Wood-Based Structural-Use Panels. Panel quality of all APA trademarked products is subject to verification through APA audit.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ON WALL BRACING

- ➡ www.wallbracing.org offers the most current information on the APA Narrow Wall Bracing Method, including links to more APA publications addressing wall bracing.
- ➡ www.apawood.org is your central resource for all information about engineered wood products, including more than 400 publications and links to APA member manufacturers.
- ➡ www.apacad.org is your link to getting the APA Narrow Wall Bracing Details in CAD format. Apacad.org offers all four figures in this publication for free download in .DXF, .DWF, or .DWG file format, ready to drop into your next building plan.
- ➡ help@apawood.org or (253) 620-7400 is your connection to the APA Product Support Help Desk. Staffed by specialists who have the knowledge to address a diverse range of inquiries related to engineered wood, the Help Desk can answer your questions about the APA Narrow Wall Bracing Method or any other application.

We have field representatives in many major U.S. cities and in Canada who can help answer questions involving APA trademarked products. For additional assistance in specifying engineered wood products, contact us:

APA – THE ENGINEERED WOOD ASSOCIATION HEADQUARTERS

7011 So. 19th St. • Tacoma, Washington 98466
(253) 565-6600 • Fax: (253) 565-7265

DISCLAIMER

The information contained herein is based on APA – The Engineered Wood Association's continuing programs of laboratory testing, product research, and comprehensive field experience. Neither APA, nor its members make any warranty, expressed or implied, or assume any legal liability or responsibility for the use, application of, and/or reference to opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations included in this publication. Consult your local jurisdiction or design professional to assure compliance with code, construction, and performance requirements. Because APA has no control over quality of workmanship or the conditions under which engineered wood products are used, it cannot accept responsibility of product performance or designs as actually constructed.

Form No. G440 • Issued October 2006/0200

