



Town of Boylston Conservation Commission conservation@boylston-ma.gov
221 Main Street, Boylston MA 01505 ** Telephone (508) 869-6127 ** Fax (508) 869-6210

**REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
SEPTEMBER 19, 2022**

Members Present: Joe McGrath, Mark Coakley, Chip Burkhardt, Jeffrey Walsh, Ron Aspero

Members Absent: None

Others Present: See Attached Sign-In Sheet

Recorder: Melanie Rich

The Chair opened the meeting at 7:00 p.m.

Chip Burkhardt made a motion to set October 17, 2022 as the next meeting date; Jeff Walsh seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. Jeff Walsh made a motion to approve the August 15, 2022 meeting minutes; Joe McGrath seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

PUBLIC HEARING (continued) – 260 Shrewsbury Street (Dragon 88) – Notice of Intent Application to divert stormwater runoff from the fill slopes as well as stabilizing non-vegetated or sparsely vegetated slopes due to the former placement of unauthorized fill which impacted and created Bordering Vegetated Wetlands. (DEP#115-427)

Scott Heim (Northeast Ecological) requested a continuance via email. This item has been on the agenda since October 2020. Mr. Heim will be advised that the Commission will take action at the next meeting and he should be prepared to attend and provide a status update. Melanie will email him. Joe McGrath made a motion to accept the request for continuance to October 17, 2022 at 7:05 p.m.; Jeff Walsh seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

PUBLIC HEARING – 0, 176 & 179 Stiles Road (Central Street Boylston Realty Trust) – Notice of Intent Application to restore impacted BVW and stabilize buffer zone ground cover due to construction related sediment deposits within Stiles Road and on abutting properties, and confirmation of resource areas. DEP#115-443

Wayne Belec (Land Design Collaborative) was present. Revised plans were submitted; the changes in the wetlands per Paul McManus (EcoTec) as well as the mitigation were explained. Mr. McManus had been to the abutters' properties (Marshall & Chwiecko) and didn't observe any siltation deposits; therefore, he didn't feel there was any remediation needed there; it would cause more disturbance. With regard to restoration of erosion on the undeveloped segment which has become reasonably well colonized, he suggested working within the first 100-feet of that area. They are proposing 420 square feet of BVW replication with wetland seed mix and 7-8' high bush blueberries. Erosion controls will be installed. They will be mitigating the gully that washed out along the edge of the road with a roadside seed mix. Mr. McManus wasn't certain if the erosion along the side of the road was an ongoing problem or as a result of what happened up above. Mr. Belec proposes to restore it to its original condition and if there any issues, have the developer address it. Mr. Marshall & Mr. Chwiecko are applicants on the NOI

but no work is being done on their property. Because they were included, an Order will be issued to all parties, but they can request a Partial Certificate of Compliance so there is no encumbrance on their deed.

Mr. Coakley commented that Mr. McManus' letter had details about no equipment. Mr. Belec explained the areas where the hand tools would be used and where equipment would be used. It was not included on the plan but will be a condition.

The Chair asked for public comment; there was none. Chip Burkhardt made a motion to close the public hearing; Joe McGrath seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. Chip Burkhardt made a motion to issue an Order of Conditions for 176 & 179 Stiles Road, DEP#115-443, as illustrated on the Restoration Plan revised 9/16/2022; original issue 4/5/2022, with standard conditions #1-#34, adding Special Condition #35-in instances where a BVW is located adjacent to the intermittent stream Bank, only the outer limit of jurisdiction (i.e., the BVW) was flagged, and the interior Bank was not delineated. Mark Coakley seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

PUBLIC MEETING – 11 French Drive (Worcester County Horticultural Society) – Notice of Intent Application for proposed repairs to existing gazebo footings with Helical Anchors and installation of a curtain drain.

Rob Lussier (CMG) requested a continuance via email. Chip Burkhardt made a motion to accept the request for continuance to October 17, 2022 at 7:10 p.m.; Joe McGrath seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

PUBLIC HEARING – 320 Sewall Street (Boylston Conservation Commission) – Amendment to DEP#115-408 and DEP#115-412 (apply Amended Order to the correct Order).

Mr. McGrath explained that this was for Pine Hill and the Greater Worcester Land Trust. The Commission processed an amendment for DEP#115-408 which was approved by the Commission. Subsequently we learned that it referred to the wrong Order; it should have referred to DEP#115-412. Mr. McGrath contacted the DEP and they requested we handle it administratively because both Orders were almost identical. Joe McGrath made a motion that we revoke the amendment which was originally granted on DEP#115-408 for Pine Hill Realty; Jeff Walsh seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. Joe McGrath made a motion to apply the amendment application to DEP#115-412. There was no change in scope or impact on the Order. Jeff Walsh seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. Joe McGrath made a motion to close the public hearing; Jeff Walsh seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

PUBLIC HEARING – 100 Shrewsbury Street (Trailside Apartments, Steven Venincasa) – Notice of Intent Application to construct a residential apartment building and small commercial building on the 19.24-acre site located on the southeast corner of Shrewsbury Street and Sewall Street. (DEP#115-~~XXX~~)

The hearing notice was read into record. Patrick Healy (Thompson-Liston) was present. Mr. McGrath asked if there would be a Stormwater Permit application related to this. Mr. Healy said the project was presented as a Chapter 40B project to the ZBA. As the Comprehensive Permit Granting Authority, that board grants all local permits; they have not applied for a Stormwater Permit from the Commission. Mr. Healy said they do have an approved and unappealed Comprehensive Permit. There was question as to whether the Commission was consulted. Mr. Healy commented that they have gone through the comprehensive review stormwater standards to comply with DEP standards and to the degree that they were able to comply with the Town's Stormwater Control Bylaw. Mr. McGrath wanted it noted that any

comments were not directed at Mr. Healy or the applicant; he felt there was a lack of communication with another town board.

DEP has not yet issued a file number. The project is on the corner of Route 140 and Sewall Street. It is 19.25 acres. The property was before the Commission approximately a year ago with a request for an ANRAD; an ORAD was issued. The wetland boundaries were confirmed with an open question of whether Sewall Brook in that area is perennial and subject to riverfront area rules. Since that time, they have been unable to determine it because of weather conditions. They are conceding that riverfront area rules do apply since they can't prove otherwise. To the extent possible, they are complying to the rules and regulations respecting that area, limiting the amount of work, limiting the amount of alteration of riverfront area to about 3%. They are proposing a multi-story apartment building; there are 6 outbuildings that are garages; there is a separate lot being developed with a small commercial building. They are proposing three stormwater infiltration structures; 8,000 square feet of riverfront area will be altered. Overall on the site there are 6.3 acres of riverfront area. The limit of work is 5.5 acres of land. Mr. Healy explained where the work in the buffer zone area will be, stormwater basins, side slopes, and access road. The town does have plans to upgrade the intersection. They are trying to get the driveway as far away from the intersection so the town can widen the road; there will be two exiting lanes and one entering lane onto Sewall Street. The buildings will be set back and a landscape strip along Route 140 will be provided. A walking trail into an open space is proposed; no excavation or heavy equipment construction; it will be hand pruning and placement of woodchips or other natural material in low-lying areas. A septic system compliant with Title 5 and 50-feet away from the wetland boundary is proposed. It was pulled back to 100-feet to comply with the Board of Health regulations. There will be a black chain link fence around the playground and dog park. A split rail fence is proposed around the stormwater basins; that is the only waiver they requested from the ZBA from the Town's Stormwater regulations; it was granted. Mr. Healy said someone pointed out that it was allowed in residential subdivisions and why wouldn't it be allowed here. He wanted it noted for the record that it will require a waiver to get that type of fence. The ponds are set back 25-feet from the wetlands.

Mr. Coakley asked what they used for the riverfront delineation because we didn't approve the stream bank or the riverfront area on the plan of the ORAD. Mr. Healy said the consultants did agree on the mean annual high-water mark. The ORAD states that "the stream bank and riverfront area on the plan is not included in this ANRAD determination; it will require further review". It will have to be validated as part of this peer review. Mr. Healy said there are numerous wetland resource areas adjacent to Sewall Brook. The 100-foot buffer zone is drawn from the outermost resource area whether it's BVW or bank, and the 200-foot riverfront area is measured from the mean annual high-water mark. Mr. Aspero asked how close the work to the wetlands is and was told from Pond 2 approximately 10-feet from the BVW. Mr. McGrath noticed the application indicates the filing is for both the construction of the apartment building and the commercial building, but on the plans it says the commercial building is future and asked for clarification. Mr. Healy said the plans approved by the ZBA were specific to the 40B application. He is asking the Commission to consider the overall development of the site. The building is outside the buffer zone but they share the parking and driveway that would be constructed as part of the apartment building. When they decide to build it, they would have to go back before the Planning Board. Mr. McGrath said there are areas (patio, playground, etc.) that are close to or are in the buffer zone or wetlands. How will they keep people out of there? Mr. Healy said behind the patio there is a retaining wall and a slope that drops down; there will be a fence separating the use from the wetlands. The Commission will want to see some demarcation for people to understand the area behind that building is considered buffer zone. Mr. McGrath said the Commission has a 25-foot policy in place. He commented that there is a great deal of land that is not buildable in the future and asked if there was any thought given by the applicant to put it in to a Conservation Restriction of protection. Mr. Healy said they have not discussed that with the applicant. Because it is subject to the Comprehensive Permit, the

open space is locked into it. Mr. Walsh said there is buildable land in the back at the current time. He explained that the CR would lock up the land whereas the Comprehensive Permit locks it up in its current permitted state but doesn't preclude development if the land can support it. Mr. McGrath said the other option is to limit the liability of the property owner. If they open up trails and someone gets injured, who do they go after; it would be the property owner. Mr. McGrath requested it go for a two-level peer review: (1) for the stormwater impact and (2) determination of the riverfront area.

The Chair asked for public comment. Greg Rozak (197 Sewall Street) asked where the walking trails are going and how will they be secured; he does not want people in his backyard. Mr. Burkhardt said it was a valid point but not under the purview of the Commission. The Commission can only act on the impacts to the wetlands. Mr. Healy said they are open daylight hours only. He should contact the ZBA and the Police Department. Signs could be placed when approaching trails. Mark Anttila (42B Compass Circle) asked if the trails will cross Sewall Brook and was told they would. Mr. McGrath suggested peer review as to what the validity of that is; we may want them to limit the scope of the trails in order to preclude damage to the brook. Dick Jubinville (25 Smallwood Circle) asked what would be in place to keep the retention basins and playground out of the buffer zone, and did they look for alternatives. Mr. Healy said a number of alternatives were considered before presenting to the ZBA. There is an erosion and sediment control plan; the property is primary sands and gravel; depth to groundwater over 6-feet. The work in the buffer zone is to cut the slope and move it away from the wetland with the exception of one pond. Theresa Prunier (Coderre Road) asked if the determination for that part of Sewall Brook was made. Mr. Burkhardt said they have not been able to overcome the presumption and it is considered a perennial stream.

Mr. Healy asked for a continuance. Joe McGrath made a motion to accept the request for continuance to October 17, 2022 at 7:15 p.m.; Mark Coakley seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

PUBLIC HEARING – 220 East Temple Street (Keith's Music House) – Notice of Intent Application and Stormwater Control Permit Application to construct a small addition to the existing building and construction of associated improvements on the site. (DEP#115-XXX); (SCP-2022-5)

The hearing notice was read into record. Patrick Healy (Thompson-Liston Associates) was present. The property is on the southeast corner of East Temple Street, Shrewsbury Street and Route 140. The applicant proposes to use the existing building for music lessons; parking is needed. They can't overcome the presumption of the perennial stream in Sewall Brook so they are showing a 200-foot riverfront area from the mean annual high-water mark. No work is proposed in the buffer zone, some work is proposed in the outer riverfront area; grading will be done to construct the parking lot; stormwater improvements will be added. To change the use of the building, a new septic system is needed; 20 parking spaces are proposed; they are maintaining the residential appearance, but widening the driveway to create a two-way traffic pattern. The driveway will be paved except for the last 50-feet which will be gravel. Mr. Healy explained the grading plan and how they are cutting back the slope of the hill; no direct runoff to the wetland system. A small addition is proposed at the back of the building to create a porch and wheelchair accessible ramp to get to the room. Work in the riverfront area is approximately 6,900 square feet. Goddard Consulting delineated the resource areas.

Mr. Aspero asked the perc rate and was told it was approximately 7-10 minutes. Mr. Lewis' future plans is to have a coffee shop; they are sizing the septic system to allow for that. Mr. Walsh asked if there were any potential isolated land subject to flooding. Mr. Healy said it is a low point, but at the bottom there is a drop inlet catch basin that goes into a pipe that goes across the highway. Mr. Coakley asked about the erosion and sediment control. Mr. Healy explained where they are adding pavement and where the stake wattles are; there are also check dams; there is a construction pad at the entrance; the

construction sequence was described. Mr. Coakley asked if the cuts and fills were substantial. Mr. Healy said there are cuts on the side of the driveway to open it up for the parking; Title 5 fill will be brought in for the septic system. He didn't expect much to leave the site.

The Chair asked for public comment. Alex Innamorati (225 E. Temple Street) commented that there is a culvert under the road and that certain erosion keeps taking place every storm; he said he has to watch it or he will lose his front yard where the stone walls are; it's on town property in front of his house across the street. Mr. Burkhardt doesn't believe it's part of this project; the water is being captured and funneled towards the catch basin and into the infiltration structures. He also asked if they are converting a residential property to a commercial property; his concern was for traffic. Mr. Lewis said yes; Mr. Healy said they will go before the Planning Board to seek a Special Permit; the use is allowed. Mr. McGrath suggested he attend the Planning Board meeting because that's where traffic will be discussed. A 53G account needs to be established with an amount to be determined. Mr. Coakley would like the reviewer to get an opinion on the no adverse effect for the less than 10% riverfront area.

The applicant requested a continuance. Mark Coakley made a motion to accept the request for continuance to October 17, 2022 at 7:20 p.m.; Jeff Wash seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

COMMISSION BUSINESS

Consider issuing a Certificate of Compliance for the Greater Worcester Land Trust (320 Sewall Street), DEP#115-412 and Stormwater Control Permit SCP#-2017-1 – There were two WPA filings and one Stormwater Control Bylaw Permit filing; therefore, the Stormwater Certificate will be a Partial Certificate of Compliance for the portion of work on the 320 Sewall Street property. Based on the previous action tonight, Joe McGrath made a motion to issue a Certificate of Compliance for Amended DEP#115-412; Mark Coakley seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. Joe McGrath made a motion to issue a Partial Certificate of Compliance under Stormwater Control Bylaw Permit SCP#2017-1; Mark Coakley seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

Consider issuing a Certificate of Compliance for 85 Sewall Street, DEP#115-420 – Matt Marro requested this be on the October agenda due to a conflict tonight. Mr. McGrath made a motion to pass over this item; Jeff Walsh seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

Consider issuing Partial Certificate of Compliance for Barnard Hill (4 Perry Road, DEP#115-373) – Last month a septic system design location plan was submitted, which was not suitable as a true as-built plan. Mr. Healy said in his letter that this lot did not have any work in the buffer zone. It's one of the lots in the subdivision that is encumbered by the overall Order of Conditions; it is not a separate lot. Jeff Walsh made a motion to issue a Partial Certificate of Compliance for DEP#115-373 for 4 Perry Road; Ron Aspero seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

Compass Pointe Update – Jeff Walsh recused himself from this discussion. Attorney Watsky was present. Mr. McGrath noted that we have not received any updates from any of the parties associated with Compass Pointe. The Commission made a request 1-2 months ago for Mr. Haynes and Attorney Watsky to come in and give us an update. Mr. McGrath also said he had a conversation with the Town Planner where Mr. Haynes informed the Town Planner that there was potential for him to file for completion of the project and submit the as-builts and wondered if that was going to impact the Commission as well. Attorney Watsky didn't think he could provide much of an update that the members don't already know. He commented that the members were at the site and saw the area where the slope was stabilized with fabric and stone so that work has been done and they are aware of that. They have been trying to get the surveyor to complete the full as-built plans and was told it's ready except for the area

around the dam. When they have that they plan to submit it to the Commission and file for a request for a Certificate of Compliance. Mr. McGrath asked if he knew if there were any other erosion control activities still scheduled that needs to be done at this point? We haven't heard from John Grenier or Matt Marro. Attorney Watsky said it's his understanding that Mr. Marro has been to the site and inspected it and is comfortable and would recommend a Certificate of Compliance. John Grenier has seen the site and he is prepared to do his paperwork but can't give his opinion until he receives the as-built plans from the surveyor. He believes that all the stabilization work is complete and is a question of whether it meets the Commission's satisfaction. Mr. McGrath said Paul McManus (EcoTec) emailed the Commission and said he did not see any new areas of erosion. He felt that the natural vegetation was taking place, but we asked him for a detailed report with photographs to satisfy the Commission's curiosity which we will receive hopefully next week. Mr. McGrath said it would be good if Mr. Marro would send us a report on his progress as well because that was part of an earlier agreement that he would update us on occasion. Attorney Watsky said they planned on having Mr. Marro provide a final completion report based on the survey plan which they were promised months ago. Mr. McGrath said we would like the applicant to provide a report on the maintenance of the detention basins in terms of cleaning out the trees and mowing, etc. We have not seen anything related to that. Attorney Watsky will ask them for one. Mr. McGrath said the bond company confirmed that the current construction bond is still in effect and asked about the status of the current open permits. Attorney Watsky had provided that earlier; it will be resent to the members.

The Chair asked for public comment. Onni Wirtanen (5B Cheryl's Way) said the Commission said the letter sent asked for detailed timeline of all the activities for the completed project. He didn't hear anything about details or specific dates. Mr. McGrath said Attorney Watsky said they are still waiting on the survey reports and will send it in when he gets it. Mr. Wirtanen said the plans show that at the end of the continued driveway for Cheryl's Way they are supposed to have 16 pine trees, loamed area, and seeded; that has not been done. What is there is three-year old woodchips. Mr. McGrath explained the process for the Certificate of Compliance. Peter Gary (3B Cheryl's Way) said there has been talk of as-builts for three years; what would compel them to submit them now. Mr. McGrath said we are working with the Planning Board; they have made it very clear to Mr. Haynes that we want to get this resolved; we are hopeful that we will make progress to get it done. Attorney Watsky assured the Commission that they are pressing the surveyor to complete the as-built plan.

Mr. McGrath said a comment was made by Mr. Haynes to the Town Planner that the Commission was not willing to talk about open space preservation in that area and believes it was a miscommunication about the status of the dam that prompted Mr. Haynes to make that remark. All the activity related to the dam has to be coordinated with the Water District. A significant amount of property has been deeded to the Water District for protection. The Commission would be more than happy to have a conversation with Attorney Watsky and Mr. Haynes about the other open spaces areas of Compass Pointe and what can be done to protect them and keep them as open space. It would be contingent on getting the rest of the project completed adequately. Mark Anttila (46B Cheryl's Way) asked if the Orders would be addressed separately. Mr. McGrath said when they bought their property, they assumed the responsibility for the Order of Conditions that was on their property. The Commission could request that each of them file for a Certificate of Compliance once we make the as-builts available to them. We are still trying to treat this project as one development. We don't want to encumber them having to do this, if at all possible, but legally that may still occur. Mr. Anttila said the transfer to the homeowners didn't happen as it was supposed to but was told this is not in the Commission's purview. Once they signed a deed for that property, any liens or responsibilities for those permits became theirs; they are encumbrances on their titles.

Elaine Jones email requesting support for the Community Preservation Act for Boylston – Mr. McGrath again made a recommendation that if individual members want to comment on it they can, but it should not be as a group; all agreed.

Informal Discussion re 205 School Street (Sandeep Shah) DEP#115-437 and SCP#2021-6 re Boylston Water District concerns – Ron Aspero recused himself from this discussion. Patrick Healy (Thompson-Liston Associates) was in attendance. The Order was issued several months ago. The contractor mobilized bringing some fill in and there were concerns raised by the Water District as to the depth of the fill, and proper notice. It became aware that the current Water Commissioners were not aware or solicited for comment during the original design and approval. The Water District does have an easement through the property 20-feet wide. The two cart path crossings that were under consideration for upgrading for the single-family home driveway appear to be directly on top of the water main for the Water District. They are concerned about the age of the waterline and the brittle nature of the pipe raises concerns about putting fill and trucks over the waterline.

Brian Gaucher said the concern is for the age of the waterline which is close to 80 years old and the road or driveway will be right on top of it. Quite a bit of fill will go on top of it. They feel that construction over the top of it will create a problem. If an issue arises and the driveway has to be dug up for repair, who is responsible for repairing the driveway. They are hoping to come up with another solution. He said they were not aware of it. The Commission said it wasn't on the plan during their review. Mr. Healy said the Commissioners concerns are specifically where the pipe is in the wetland itself because there wouldn't be solid ground under it. They would like them to move the driveway which would change the whole scope of what was approved by the Commission. Mr. Healy asked would the Commission consider such a project with the driveway that would increase the width of the cart path through the wetland crossings while still upgrading the culvert crossings. The alternative is to look at replacing 500-600-feet of waterline. The Water District would like to see the driveway moved, but if that's not an option, replace the waterline. Mr. McGrath said the best recourse is to get the driveway completely out of the easement. The Commission can amend the Order of Conditions. After much discussion, Mr. Healy and the Water District will work together to find the best path forward.

Sewall Street Lots (Alex Richov) – Mr. Richov is the Project Manager representing S.A. Builders. He wanted to clarify the site conditions. Mr. Burkhardt stopped by the site and was disappointed because what he saw is construction moving forward and we've received complaints about trash blowing across to the neighbors (which has been addressed outside of the Commission's purview). They started to clear trees which they have approval to do, but on the earth removal lot the stumps were all grubbed and there were no erosion controls in place. There is no tracking mat in place as specified on the approved plan; it is one of the conditions. Mr. Richov's email response indicated they are not complying with the conditions on the project, e.g., they are not putting in a tracking mat because they are going to pave soon, are using a leaf blower and shovel. That is non-compliant with the approved plan. Mr. Burkhardt was surprised to see a large pile of stumps with no erosion controls in place.

Art Allen (EcoTec) inspected the site on August 25th. Mr. Richov was told he is in non-compliance which is a \$300/day fine for each individual violation. Mr. Burkhardt said we haven't issued an Enforcement Order but to understand that the Commission is serious. He explained there is a set of approved plans; follow the plans and there is no issue. Mr. Richov asked if he was supposed to install erosion controls first where the three lots were cut and grubbed after the original six that they did, it's about a 15-foot cut on those lots, and they are going right to the limit of work? No, he was again told that before he started grubbing they should have been put in. Mr. Burkhardt said the time to ask those questions was when he filed the project. Mr. McGrath said EcoTec's report observed that the existing silt fence in the area of Lot 6 has been destroyed and was not being replaced or maintained. Also, the plans show one

stockpile area; they have three. Mr. Richov should have come to the Commission for discussion. He said they did have tracking pads at the initial Lots 1-6. Once they took them out and began excavating, the land is flat and the contractors began driving in every way. Mr. Burkhardt said if he was managing the project, it's his job to manage the job to come talk to us about it, and tell the contractors where to drive. It's a matter of black & white conditions managing the project. Mr. Walsh said don't let the help tell him what to do. If he wants to change something, he needs to come to the Commission for approval or denial before executing. Mr. McGrath asked his plans to stabilize the site for the winter. Mr. Richov said they plan to finish the first four lots completely before winter. Mr. Burkhardt said to put some thought into a timeline before the next meeting. Look at what the permitted conditions are and let us know what they will do to address the Commission's concerns and the timeline they will be implementing.

Jeff Turgeon (Worcester Sports Foundation) – The Worcester Sports Foundation is a non-profit which helps people get involved in outdoor activities with niche sports, such as disc golf. They are interested in volunteering with the town to bring a disc golf course to Boylston; they have been working with the Parks & Rec looking at the Hillside area. Mr. Burkhardt said the existing trails have wetlands, resource areas, overlap with the DCR and there is hunting. He was asked to submit a plan and we can give him some understanding.

Steve Mero (Highway Superintendent) Central Street Paving Project Update – Mr. Mero is trying to get as much backfill done as he can. He said there was an earlier comment about the 3 inch minus material that they put on the roadside being too high; it's an ongoing project; they are not finished; let them finish the project before it is critiqued. They are using 3 inch minus in the runoff areas. There was an issue of runoff with the culvert that was replaced; it should have been protected but they took action immediately. They cleaned out all of the debris and put in rip rap in some of the areas. They are back filling 1-2 days/week while still maintaining the rest of the town. They are trying to complete all they can before the snow with a crew of three. Above Indian Doll is still washing out. Mr. Mero said they have a straw wattle at the inlet at the top of the hill. They are not collecting everything they should currently because it's not paved and they need to raise that structure, but felt when they get to that it will collect a large amount of water there. Mr. Burkhardt said to keep an eye on the road and if there are any kind of check dams, etc., he needs to put in additional wattles or stone to slow it down. Mr. Coakley suggested putting in a check dam and check the wattles between Rocky Pond and Indian Doll because they are getting a lot of scouring.

Master Plan Review (Commission Comments) – Mr. McGrath submitted comments.

Correspondence and emails were reviewed; additional items were addressed earlier.

Having no further business to discuss, Joe McGrath made a motion to adjourn; Mark Coakley seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:30 p.m.