



Town of Boylston Conservation Commission conservation@boylston-ma.gov
221 Main Street, Boylston MA 01505 ** Telephone (508) 869-6127 ** Fax (508) 869-6210

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

AUGUST 21, 2017

Members Present: Dan Duffy, Mark Coakley, Jeffrey Walsh, Rebecca Longvall, Chip Burkhardt, Joe McGrath

Members Absent: Michael Ruggieri

Others Present: See Attached Sign-In Sheet

Recorder: Melanie Rich

PUBLIC HEARING – Review of proposed Conservation Land Usage Regulations – The hearing notice was read into record. Joe McGrath, a Conservation Commission member for 28+ years, Stormwater Committee member and a member of the Open Space and Recreation Planning Committee explained that in May 2016, the Conservation Commission submitted an article on the town meeting warrant to take certain lots in Boylston and convert them from general town lots to conservation use lots; the motion passed unanimously. Title searches were done and clear title was obtained on six lots; partial surveys were also done on some of the lots. The hearing tonight was for the solicitation of public comment on the draft Land Usage Regulations. There is very little frontage on the lots and they are not easily accessible. There is no intent to publish or create any access to the lots. The primary concern is for the rights of the abutters; the lots will be surveyed to respect the property boundaries of the abutters. Mr. McGrath reviewed and explained the allowed usages, prohibited uses, and general regulations. The regulations have been brought to the Parks & Rec Committee as well as the Planning Board; they had no revisions to the draft. The Commission members had no questions or concerns; they were comprehensive and well read.

Richard Colarusso (62 Oak Hill Lane) asked how people would access the lots. Mr. McGrath said unless an abutter/homeowner allows them access, there is no way for them to get to the lots; many of the lots are land-locked. Conservation Commissions in the state of MA not only take charge of the regulations, but are charged with protecting the town's natural resources, making sure those assets are kept for the use of town citizens. The Commission and the Board of Selectmen were very concerned that the DCR, which already has a significant amount of property under their control, could take other lots and attempt to take them out of the reach or use of the citizens. In some cases, some of these lots do abut other conservation land and there is potential access for them in the future, but there are no definitive plans or trail maps for those lots at this time. The lot on Linden Street does have some frontage, but most of the frontage is wetland. This piece would be marked so it is appropriately flagged as conservation land.

Jim Black (Summer Star Wildlife Sanctuary representative) said they own 45 acres that directly abuts two parcels being discussed. They had two concerns: (1) the permissiveness of hunting, and (2) the use of motorized vehicles in designated areas. Summer Star's intent is to be a peaceful, tranquil environment. They feel hunting will impact safety. Summer Star protects wildlife and does not allow motorized vehicles. He said because the lots are landlocked, an unauthorized vehicle would access through the Summer Star property. Mr. McGrath said there are no designated areas for vehicular access proposed on the six lots. There may be a possibility in the future of having a lot where they might be

able to create a designated parking area for a trailhead, but it does not mean we would ever allow designated use on the property itself, only on the parking area. With regard to hunting, the Commission initially proposed prohibiting hunting from the site but were asked by the Board of Selectmen, based on citizen's comments, to put it back in the regulations as a proposed use. They are welcome to express that concern to the Board of Selectmen.

Mr. Black asked that the Commission consider being in support of restrictions as it is close to the Summer Star property. Mr. McGrath will bring their comments and concerns to the Board of Selectmen. He said the lots that are town-owned that do not have Conservation jurisdiction or have clear title, do allow hunting. There are more lots adjacent to Summer Star that are open that are not under the Conservation's control.

Mr. McGrath explained the history and that there was an exhaustive search for potential revenue for the lots to make sure there wasn't a way for them to be developed. Tax records were checked and verified that they were no income lots for the town.

Christa Collins (SVT) thanked the Commission for the hard work that was done and said there is tremendous habitat value. Laura Mattei (SVT) asked if the Commission had other lands under their jurisdiction. Mr. McGrath said no and commented that there was a Public Hearing in 1985 to put these lots in Conservation land but the process was never completed; the deed transfers were not done.

Mr. McGrath said two things are very important: (1) we do not want to take any town land out of circulation that could be used to generate potential revenue for the town, and (2) the abutters to these properties have lived there for many years; they have a right to have their property lines respected, and we are not going to do anything to jeopardize that in the way of posting or advertising. Our goal is to preserve the lots as pristine lots that contribute to the habitat and the natural environment. Mr. McGrath thanked everyone for their valuable contribution and suggestions. The draft will now go back to the Selectmen for final approval and we hope to have them promulgated sometime this year. At that point, the deeds for custodianship of the lots will be moved from the town Tax Title Examiner to the Conservation Commission. This is just the first step; we hope to do more in this area in the future.

Joe McGrath made a motion to close the Public Hearing; Mark Coakley seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

PUBLIC HEARING (continued) – JILL MARCOTTE, SCANNELL PROPERTIES #296 LLC – Notice of Intent Application for the construction of a driveway stub, including clearing and grubbing, placement of fill, slope stabilization and a culvert in the 100' buffer zone.

Patrick Healy summarized that at the last meeting the Commission had one outstanding issue which was the request to push the limit of work away from the BVW line for the driveway stub; it has been done. The plans were updated and submitted to both the Commission and the DEP. Jeff Walsh made a motion to close the Public Hearing; Joe McGrath seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. Jeff Walsh made a motion to issue a standard Order of Conditions adding Special Condition #34 that no disturbance shall occur within 10' of wetland flag F9, and all erosion control barriers and construction activities shall stay at least 10' away from wetland flag F9; Mark Coakley seconded; Duffy/Walsh/Longvall/Coakley/McGrath voted in favor; motion approved. Burkhardt abstained.

PUBLIC HEARING (continued) – KHALID NASEEM, SYLVAN REALTY TRUST (Stiles Road, Map 20/Parcels 3&4) – Notice of Intent Application for the construction two single-family homes. Septic systems will be

located on the side of the perennial stream; no wetlands will be altered; riverfront alteration will be less than 10%.

Mr. Naseem said EcoTec provided a memo that explained the work that was done to facilitate locating wetland flags and other identified resources. It is not intended to be used as part of a wetland filing. The surveyor has not had time to do the flagging so the plans are not updated. He asked if the Commission would walk the site. The Commission will not do a site visit until they have a surveyed plan. Dan Duffy said the wetland flagging around the property needs to be updated because it was done in 2008; we don't have the information we need to make a decision with regard to the location of the wetland resources. Other items asked for at the last meeting related to the limit of work in the septic system area and details on the force main crossing of the brook, including both construction details and details with respect to the state's requirements for openness ratio related to the culvert. Mr. Naseem said those items will be provided at the next meeting.

Mr. Duffy asked if the alternative analysis of the location of the septic system that described that it could not be located outside of the riverfront area was because the percolation tests that were done for the area outside the riverfront were not suitable. Mr. Naseem was asked to provide information on the date of the tests, data, and who witnessed them. Because perc rates have changed, the Commission would like to know if they were done under the old regulations.

DEP's comments were: "Alterations to the perennial stream include impacts to other wetland resource areas, Bank-310 CMR 10.54 and Land under Water Bodies and Waterways-310 CMR 10.56, should be described and provided for the culvert replacement activities. An analysis should be provided to demonstrate that downstream flooding will not occur from doubling the size of both the culverts. Erosion and sediment controls should extend to the area of the proposed sewage disposal system - leaching area. Additional requirements were: Before the activity described in the Notice of Intent can commence, you must obtain a Water Quality Certification."

Ronald Resseguie (200 Stiles Road) was concerned with digging in the dump area and how it would impact the wetlands. Mr. Duffy said there was some question as to whether there was an old farm dump in a portion of one of the two proposed house lots. Mr. Naseem was not aware of that, but in the original Order of Conditions he agreed with Mr. Resseguie (Skippy) that before any excavation, any items found that looked like contaminants would be reported. Mr. Duffy suggested that it be put on the plans that an engineer needs to be present during all excavation activities on this lot and if any debris is found, work will stop immediately and the Board of Health will be notified. The Commission would condition that any action beyond what is proposed to deal with the dump would need to come back to the Commission with plans on how to manage it; it will be addressed in the final conditions. Mr. Naseem agreed to put it on the plan. Mr. Walsh explained that certain areas such as hazardous waste or solid waste are not in our jurisdiction; we can only condition the work under the Wetlands Protection Act Regulations. It would first be reported to the Board of Health who would contact the appropriate DEP department. It can also be reported to the Building Inspector. If there are flammable materials, the Fire Chief would be notified. All three boards have written procedures on how to get to the appropriate resources.

Warren Isabell (186 Stiles) asked about the impact of water runoff on that street which is prone to seasonal overage. Mr. Burkhardt explained those discussions fall under the Stormwater Control Permit hearing; we look at the runoff from the impervious surface that is created and how that impacts the surroundings. Ms. Isabell was also concerned about tree clearing. Mr. Naseem said he will only cut trees as necessary because it would diminish the value of the property if trees were cleared in excess. Mr.

Duffy said we would want that addressed on the plan. Mr. Isabell asked how can two houses share one septic; he will have to contact the BOH for that answer.

Maureen Goljan (201 Stiles) said she attended hearings in the 90s and it was deemed unbuildable land, what has changed. Mr. Duffy explained that we are reviewing what is presented now that shows the land, topography, delineation of the wetlands and the work that is proposed. The project was approved in 2008 but not built upon. Mr. Burkhardt said because it has wetlands, buffer zone, resource areas or a perennial stream does not mean it's an unbuildable lot. If it meets the regulations you can build on it. Mr. McGrath said in the 90s it was the builder's decision not to build there because the mitigation measures they had to do at the time were more than what they wanted to take on. No board can tell a builder it is an unbuildable lot. All we can do is specify the conditions in which they have to build it to meet all the regulations. Mr. McGrath said the water table in New England is declining and our jurisdiction goes down accordingly. We have asked that the wetlands be reflagged because conditions have changed. The Army Corps of Engineers will be involved with the culverts. Mr. Naseem asked for a continuance. Joe McGrath made a motion to accept the request for continuance; Jeff Walsh seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. It was continued to September 18th at 7PM.

PUBLIC HEARING – KHALID NASEEM, SYLVAN REALTY TRUST (Stiles Road, Map 20/Parcels 3&4) – Stormwater Control Permit Application for the construction of two single family homes. Total land alteration will be 1.25 acres.

The hearing notice was read into record. With respect to the earlier question about stormwater going towards the road, the site drawings appear as though there is 20'-30' of the front yard that the runoff will go toward the road; the remainder is sloped towards the back of the lot and the wetlands area; it is not a subdivision, there are no requirements to do road repairs associated with ANR lots. Mr. Burkhardt said one of the stormwater best practices that is encouraged is to infiltrate as much water so there is no runoff; we will be looking for that. Mr. Naseem asked for a continuance. Joe McGrath made a motion to accept the request for continuance; Jeff Walsh seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. It was continued to September 18th at 7PM.

PUBLIC MEETING – CATHY PHILLIPS (3 Linden Street) – Request for Determination of Applicability Application to replace an existing cesspool. The new sewage disposal system is approximately 125' from the BVW. The proposed septic tank and pump chamber are approximately 85' from the BVW.

The meeting notice was read into record. Steve Sears (David E. Ross Associates) was present. There is an existing cesspool in the back paved parking area. It failed Title 5 because of the location off the existing well. The disturbance will be approximately 600 square feet. The limit of disturbance is approximately 85' beyond the wetlands. The DCR found it exempt. It is within the 400' buffer zone but they are outside the 200' buffer zone. Erosion control measures will be installed around the entire area of work. The cesspool will be filled; there will be no digging. Joe McGrath made a motion to close the Public Meeting; Chip Burkhardt seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. Jeff Walsh made a motion to issue a Negative Determination by reason #3; Mark Coakley seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

PUBLIC HEARING – LINDSAY KATZ (29 Flagg Street) – Amend Stormwater Control Permit SCP#2016-1 to relocate the detention pond from the original location as approved by the Conservation Commission on February 22, 2016.

The hearing notice was read into record. Chris Katz was present and said he received approval from the Planning Board on the condition that it receives approval from the Commission. Dan Duffy said at the

last meeting Mr. Katz attended, he was going to put in erosion controls prior to occupancy of the house; that did not happen. Mr. Katz said they were going to put in temporary erosion controls as noted on the original plan, but it would have made no sense to put them in because they would have had to move them. He was told that he was out of compliance with the permit the Commission issued and could have been fined \$300/day for not complying with what he agreed to. It was clear at the meeting the action he was going to take and what we anticipated prior to occupancy; the members agreed that it was very clear. Mr. Duffy's concern was that the original permit required erosion controls and they were never put in. He is working on the property with no erosion controls present. Mr. Katz said they have a temporary Occupancy Permit because they are changing the stormwater control measures. He acknowledged that he is doing some filling without getting approval that is required from the Commission. The members felt Mr. Katz had little regard for the permit that was issued and the agreements that were made. Mr. Katz apologized and said he did not realize it was going to be such a big deal; he has the hay bales and he will start putting them in immediately.

The Commission received photos of filling at the end of Flagg Street. The slope open; a major rain event will send it down the bottom of the slope. Mr. Duffy asked what it was and was told granite and marble (coming from Discover Marble & Granite). Mr. Duffy said one of the conditions if approved is to make sure there are no waste materials being brought in and that it is clean fill, not contaminated. It appears that the filling is being done in an uncontrolled manner and should be done in a manner to provide long-term stability.

Graves Engineering issued a letter on July 28, 2017 acknowledging that 5 of the 6 comments had been addressed by plan revisions. Outstanding had to do with metes and bounds around the easement. It was based on the plans last revised July 14, 2017. Number 1 refers to the basin detail on sheet 8 that has been revised to reflect the suggested imperious berm core and basin bottom materials; it was not provided; the Commission needs a complete set of plans. Inspections will be need done during construction and will need to be certified that it is being built to design. Mr. McGrath thought we should not approve the Amended Stormwater Permit because we do not have a full set of plans to refer to. Work has to cease and desist until it is permitted. Mr. Duffy was not opposed to putting in erosion controls in the location proposed on the drawing; Mr. Burkhardt agreed. The work that is beyond what was approved in the original permit needs to stop until he has a new permit. If he is filling outside of the slope, he needs to stop; it is not permitted. We don't have the drawing for what was agreed to in that area. Mark Coakley commented that DEP considers the cutting from the stone processing waste. Ms. Longvall said it is a serious violation and there are fines associated with it if he doesn't follow the rules and regulations of the Stormwater Permit. The Commission decided not to issue an Enforcement Order at this time. They want the erosion control barriers in place before weeks' end, and all filling stops until he has a permit. Mr. Katz said he is running out of time for his insurance permit to get the road finished. Mr. McGrath would not have an issue approving the amendment depending on: (1) the erosion controls go in, and (2) we get a full set of plans. It was discussed having Graves Engineering do an inspection on the fill that is there now. Mr. Duffy preferred to have Mr. Katz' engineer (John Grenier) certify that it is being done in accordance with the plan. Mr. Walsh said where the core to the berm is key for the design. The applicant should have one of his consultants (Grenier or Yankee) observe installation of the berm and certify that the berm as constructed meets the plans we have not yet seen. We can make it a condition that certification accompanies the as-built plans, specific to the core.

Chip Burkhardt made a motion to close the Public Hearing; Joe McGrath seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. Joe McGrath made a motion to issue Amended Stormwater Control Permit SCP#2016-1 to reflect the change in the location of the detention basin with the following conditions: (1) the Commission receives a full set of plans (all eight sheets), dated July 14, 2017, including the grading and engineering plan for the detention basin; (2) prior to the continuation of any work on the site,

stormwater controls be placed per the amended plan; and (3) all work related to the construction of the berm and other structures for the retention basin be certified by a professional engineer. Jeff Walsh seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

PUBLIC HEARING – PINE ST. BOYLSTON REALTY, LLC 320 Sewall Street) – Notice of Intent Application for the removal of the existing structures and lot grading.

The hearing notice was read into record. Jimmy Ricciardi and Mike May were present. Green cards are needed. They have an Earth Removal Permit. Part of the work is in the 100' buffer zone. Separate filings were done for Lots 1, 2 & 3. The proposed plans have been scaled back; they will not be doing beach modifications. They plan to remove all the structures, do some renovations, and grade the entire site for a playing surface and deed it to the town. They propose to replace the two existing culverts. They will not be cutting trees on the gravel side of the road. Impacts are the buffer zone and culvert replacement. Dan Duffy asked about the neighbors' comments regarding the 20' buffer they requested at the previous filling. Mike May said the first home is closest to the fence, but the rest have significant area from the fence and they have trees on their side of the parcel. He said a conceptual plan was done with Waterman Design; the intent was to give Parks & Rec supplemental space for open space and they could decide what to do with it. Rebecca Longvall's concern was what if Parks & Rec did not want it after all the earthwork was done and asked if a Conservation Restriction could be put on it. Mr. Ricciardi said there is already a CR on it. Mark Coakley asked what they are doing for parking and pervious areas. Mr. Ricciardi explained where the parking will go; it is not paved. He was told by John Grenier who did the calculations that there will be less runoff. Jeff Walsh said it doesn't generate any more volume of runoff. Construction phase erosion controls and permanent site stabilization should be the focus. Mr. May said Parks & Rec wants unstructured space. Joe McGrath said our jurisdiction is for the work in the buffer zone. There was discussion on the paperwork filed for Parcel 30 on the NOI. A previous NOI was filed and a DEP File number issued for Parcels 30 & 33. DEP says you can have two open orders, but it must be for different work. It was determined that it is additional work and allowed by DEP. Mr. Ricciardi asked if they can use alternate erosion controls and was told the Commission would not have any objections provided that they perform as well and best management practices are taken into consideration.

Sue Sleczkowski (1A Northeast Way) said neighbors are concerned that their questions about future use are not being answered and asked Mr. May if there would be a meeting. She said Parks & Rec didn't want to take it over. Mr. May said it would be impractical to do a single meeting so he will put an article in the Banner describing what will be done because he owes the community an explanation. Joe McGrath made a motion to close the Public Hearing; Rebecca Longvall seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. Joe McGrath made a motion to issue a standard Order of Conditions pending receipt of DEP file number and green cards; Chip Burkhardt seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

COMMISSION BUSINESS

Worcester Sand & Gravel – Mike Trotto was present. Dan Duffy said we received the draft project narrative from Tighe & Bond. Our consultant had some comments, mostly pending receipt of the specifications because that is where the detail will be. Mr. Trotto was asked if the grading plan would be similar to what was previously proposed; yes. Mr. Trotto submitted a copy of the signed agreement with the homeowners (Phillips) of 5 Heywood Street and Worcester Sand & Gravel. He was asked when the Commission would be getting the plan; Mr. Trotto said it depends on what Thompson-Liston submits, but it was started last week. Tighe & Bond is waiting for Thompson-Liston. Mr. Trotto was reminded that he needs to get his engineer moving; we want to move forward. He was also reminded that he can't do any work until we approve it.

Larry Greene (Waterman Designs) to discuss site improvements at Tower Hill – Mr. Greene was in attendance to get comments/feedback from the Commission on the proposed improvements to Tower Hill. He gave an orientation of the plan. As part of their Master Plan, five areas were prioritized for improvement: redefining the main entrance, repositioning the gate house, providing additional parking at the gate house, doubling the size of the existing parking facility, and creating a connection to an outdoor playground. They propose new signage, fencing, landscaping, lighting, and moving the gate house. The work will be adjacent to a wetland, all buffer work. They are considering milling and paving part of French Drive and creating a designated turning lane (BVW work), 319 parking spaces, cascading forebays (ornamental stormwater treatment device). Joe McGrath said we would be looking for is a 10'-20' buffer left up to the BVW. When they built the garden and main building there was an incredible amount of erosion going onto Route 70. They need to be very careful with erosion controls for the parking lot. Dan Duffy asked what is the purpose for the work being done at the French Drive entrance since there appears to be a stormwater system that is operating. Mr. Greene said it is more for educational aesthetics. Mr. Duffy would be less inclined to approve something that is more of an impact to the resource area if its sole purpose is educational in an area where no one is going to get to it. Moving the gate house would be for safety improvement and presumably it will lessen the amount of stormwater that's going to come down there, but there is a basin that was built that is part of that originally. If its sole purpose is for aesthetics, we would want 10'-20' setback from the wetlands. Mr. Greene said the wetlands have been flagged; the wetlands delineated. Rebecca Longvall would be more comfortable trying to do something within the same footprint instead of expanding it and getting closer to the BVW. Mr. McGrath said to leave it alone; he doesn't think it will get enough traffic to justify the work area. Mr. Greene should come prepared to talk about the traffic, turning lane, overall stormwater quality management, and construction.

Longley Hill Update – Mr. Ansari and James Tetreault were present. Dan Duffy said some things have changed from the May 15, 2017 outlined schedule. Mr. Tetreault explained what was done and said the only items outstanding are the slope behind Lot 9 and the extra stabilization of Lot 11. Consistent progress has been made. Lot 6 is framed, wood chips are not down; Lot 5 is about to be framed, wood chips are not down. Mr. Ansari said the entire slope is stabilized. Mr. Tetreault said Mr. Ansari fell behind because of the rain events and the riprap slope stabilization behind Lot 6. Mr. Ansari anticipates having the work completed on Lots 9 and 11 in approximately three weeks. He plans to have it seeded and stabilized before the end of the growing season.

Vouchers were approved.

Correspondence/emails were reviewed. FedEx Dust Control – There was excessive dust around the site coming from both the rock crusher and equipment. Dan Duffy said overall the site looks good. Mr. Duffy will contact Patrick Healy regarding the Commission's concern for dust control and to work with the superintendent to make sure it is addressed and noted in the SWPPP reports.

An email will also be sent to Jim Haynes (Compass Pointe) about dust control.

Mark Coakley visited the Barnard Hill (Perry Road) site and suggested EcoTec do a site visit and report back. Dan Duffy suggested EcoTec visit Longley Hill as well. He also visited the 280 Shrewsbury Street restoration site; the work looked good. His only concern was the massive stockpile of top soil; they need to stabilize the pile; Mr. Duffy will contact them. He will also contact EcoTec to do site visits.

Administrative Assistant Request to Increase Hours – Joe McGrath said Melanie approached him a few weeks ago to confirm that our Wetlands Protection Fund (currently at \$41K) could be used to augment our administrative hours. He felt it was important to address her concerns. She expresses in her letter

that since her hours were reduced five years ago, a number of projects that we've asked her to do she has not been able to get to because of insufficient hours. He also thought it was important to recognize that in the last five years we have the Stormwater Permit process which requires additional activity, plus we have seen a number of projects or the size of the projects increase. Mr. McGrath made a motion that the Commission authorize an additional 6 hours per week for administrative services through the remainder of this fiscal year, funding to come from the Wetlands Protection Fund after the salary line item is depleted. Discussion: Mr. McGrath did verify with the Department of Revenue that the fund can be used for that purpose. Dan Duffy said other projects such as maintaining the website, archives, closed files, reconciling property locations and applicant names, etc., are important to the Commission and shouldn't be pushed aside. Mark Coakley said that it be put back on the budget submission and to keep a record for accountability so when it comes time for the budget meeting, we will have backup documentation as to how much we were short that was funded from the Wetland Protection Fund, what was accomplished with the extra money, and would like it to be put back in the budget for fiscal year 2019; the members agreed. Mr. McGrath noted that the Federal Government saved the town \$25K in stormwater permit fees this year by delaying action on the MS4 Permit and believes that money is still in the budget. He said we will use the activity done as justification to request additional hours the next fiscal year budget. Mr. Duffy noted that this would be restoring hours that were originally there when there wasn't a lot of development going on; that has evolved since then, e.g., 9:45 p.m. and we are still at a meeting. If nothing else, that is the justification because the hours were there and were cut back because of lack of development activity. Chip Burkhardt seconded the motion; all voted in favor; motion approved.

Review, Vote & Sign Agreement for the Intra-Departmental Transfer of Real Property – Joe McGrath talked about it; the Board of Selectmen wanted an agreement drawn up by Town Counsel that custodianship of the lots be transferred back to them should the Conservation Commission decide to build a toxic waste dump or similar facility on the conservation lots. That would be debatable because once you put land in Chapter 97, it can't be changed. Jeff Walsh authorized the Chairman to sign the Intra-Departmental Transfer of Real Property; Chip Burkhardt seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

Joe McGrath made a motion that the Commission accept the draft Land Usage Regulations as previously reviewed and allow the Commission to promulgate them as regulations for the Commission; Jeff Walsh seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

Consider issuing Certificate of Compliance for DEP#115-275 Monogram Properties (lapsed Order) – Jeff Walsh recused himself from the matter. The Order was issued in 2005 and lapsed. They were required to file again and a new DEP file number was issued. Mark Coakley made a motion to issue a Certificate of Compliance for an "Invalid Order of Conditions"; Chip Burkhardt seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved.

Mark Coakley made a motion to approve the Meeting Minutes dated July 17, 2017; Jeff Walsh seconded. Duffy/Walsh/Longvall/Coakley voted in favor; motion approved. Burkhardt/McGrath abstained.

September 18th was confirmed as the next meeting date.

Chip Burkhardt made a motion to adjourn; Mark Coakley seconded; all voted in favor; motion approved. The meeting was adjourned by unanimous vote at 10:00 p.m.